Informal Interpretation

Date: July 29, 2015


Paragraph Reference: 1.3

For purpose of this petition, pertinent factors do not include the name of the governmental agency or persons representing that agency. Petitioners are discouraged from making any personal assertions about an agency or agency official. If this involves an actual situation, briefly explain all of the pertinent factors.

Actual Situation Explanation:
This involves a 4 story Hotel. The building permit was applied for mid-December of 2014 and reviewed under the 2010 ed. of the FFPC. Eight months later the fire alarm contractor is applying for the fire alarm permit which our city requires a separate permit. In question is which edition of the FFPC should the fire alarm be reviewed under the 2010 ed. or the 5th ed. which was adopted the first of 2015. This in turn will dictate which edition of NFPA 72 is used.

Question (should be worded so that it can be answered with either "Yes" or "No"):
Since the date of the fire alarm permit application is July of 2015 shouldn't the fire alarm plan be reviewed under the 5th ed. of the FFPC which in turn references the 2010 ed. of NFPA 72?

Answer:
No

Reviewing Members:
Region 1: Bruce Teston
Region 2: John Gatlin
Region 3: James Groff
Region 4: Phil Gugliete
Region 5: Tony Apfelbeck
Region 6: Bob Salvaggio
Region 7: Bryan Parks
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